C. F. 71 & 72—70,000—14-7-30

C.F. 71 & 72.

19

Department of Land Revenue

OFFICE Of the Bd of Rev

STATION Madras.

Ms No. 1833

G 1615/33

Dated 25.4.33

To whom sent

Date Initials

Given for copying

Copied

Examined

Signed

Given for despatch

Despatched

Indexed

To be destroyed on*

DESPATCHED
26 APR 1933

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Survey Supplemental (S. Kanara) detached bits carved out of assessed waste blocks. ~~Red~~ residual fields. Computation of area. S. S. O's proposal approved.

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

C.F. 32.

Settlement 6161/33 DEPARTMENT. GP 181

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Received 1- APR 1933 BOARD OF REVENUE * LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

Registered F Section Reg 1590

From (Name) D.I.R.Muir Esquire I.C.S.,

(Designation) Special Settlement Officer, Party No.IV,

Post Box ....., (Station) Calicut.

Telegraphic Address .....

Not 7 & 145

This is a/cmes Survey Section 3/4/33

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Chepauk, M a d r a s.

Through the Collector of South Kanara.

A2-- No. 263-33-3, dated 16.3.33. 19

Sir,

ENCLOSURES.....
1 Old Field measurement Book Sketch separately.
SPARE COPIES.....

Survey - Supplemental Survey - South Kanara District - Formation of detached bits in assessed waste blocks by the Revenue Department - Calculation of area - Proposals - submitted.

In the supplements to the Settlement registers of Mangalore taluk there are several survey fields which bear survey field numbers alone but not the particulars of soil classification, taram, rate, area and assessment. On investigation it has been found that these fields were carved out of big assessed waste blocks when the latter were split up for purposes of assignment. The field map of Survey No.136 of Village No.69 Kolambe, - sent herewith for reference and return, will illustrate the process that led to the formation of these vacant numbers. As the marginal entries in this sketch will indicate, S.Nos.158 and 177 were first formed, their areas were computed and the balance, that is, the original area of S.No.136 minus the areas of 158 and 177 was taken as the area of the unassigned portion of S.No. 136. This process was repeated when field numbers 171, 222, 167, 165, 168, 245 253 etc were formed. S.No.246 is the number now left vacant in the revenue accounts without any particulars of soil classification etc. It presumably represents in the map, the portion lying to

To A.S.(Sett) for orders as to action & or G.O. Ms taluk Gs seen, please. 4/4/33

(J)

(J)

Marked 'A' in the sketch of S.No 136 at (J)

the west of S.No.171, north of S.Nos.61 and 167, east of S.No. 62 and south of S.Nos.70 and 71. As it evidently forms part of old S.No.136, it must bear the soil classification, taram and rate assigned to the latter. There is some difficulty, however, in arriving at its area. Its area is evidently included in the extent of 91.64 acres shown against S.No.136 as it existed after the last assignment. A glance at the map will show that S.No.246 does not adjoin S.No.136 but is separated from it by S.No.171. It cannot thus be merged with S.No.136 now, nor will it be proper to follow in the settlement accounts the procedure adopted by the Revenue Department of making no entries against it. I therefore propose to compute the area of S.No.246 as accurately as possible, from the field map, deduct it from the area now noted against S.No.136 and adopt this residual area as the area of S.No.136. According to this process, the area of the parent number will ordinarily be the area of the parent number now entered against it in the supplemental adangal minus the area of the vacant field now arrived at by computation. The area of the residual parent field arrived at by this process happens in some cases to be very small compared with its actual size as it appears in the Field Measurement Book. This may be due either to the incorrectness of the area of the old minor circuit block from which new survey fields were formed subsequent to the old survey or to the incorrectness of the areas of the new fields. The incorrectness of the area of the residual field can therefore be set right either by recomputing the area of the block or of the new fields or of both. This will involve interfering with the framework already in existence and this is clearly beyond the scope of the present special staff survey.

9. The best course, therefore, seems to be to compute the areas of both the vacant number and the residual parent field where the area of the latter is obviously incorrect, and adopt the actual area for both of them. This will have the effect of increasing the total area of the old field, but this cannot be helped and it seems the least objectionable course seeing that the areas of the new field incorrect as they are, have already been adopted in the Revenue accou

and have not been objected to. I request the favour of the Board's orders for the adoption of the above procedure.

17/3.

S.A.16.3.33. Special Settlement Officer.

DESPATCHED 20 MAR 1933

16.3.33

16-3-33

Submitted to the Board

It may be presumed that the new fields that were formed were examined with care, since there was no need to certify on each occasion the area of the residual fields as correct. I think the Settlement Officer's proposal may be adopted as that involves the least amount of survey work

27.3.33

5

Subject.

R. F. XXII-A-19—23,004—15-3-32.(6)

FOR MEMBER.

As (sch)

Survey supplemental (S. Kanara)
~~formation of~~ detached bits
carved out of assessed waste blocks
residual ~~area~~ fields. computation of
area S. S.O's proposal approved

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " " _____, " _____ 193

READ ALSO

Register No. _____, From the G 1618/33-1 No. Q & I Ch, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

RESOLUTION. No. 1183 dated 25. 4. 1933

Issue quinquennial
letter 33

The special Settlement
officer's proposal in his letter
read above is approved.

24.4.33

(T.O.)
25. 4. 33

To The S. A. S.O.
in charge of No IV Party.
with ~~the~~ F M B of V. No 69 x 48.
Copy to the Collr of S. Kanara

Note File

Survey Supplemental (S. Kanara) detached bits in assessed waste blocks - residual fields - Calculation of area

Hy 1-3 cf. G. 1590/33. From the SSO d 16.3.33

For orders whether the SSO's proposal may be appd. The Colr approved it. The SSO's proposal is a rough & ready one. But in the Suppl. Sur. work now taken up by the S.A.SO this defect is intended that all defects in the Existing ~~frame work of the~~ records should be set right.

5.4.33

D.L.R. The SSO's proposals may be Hy 2-4 q/D.P. 672. (E) 28.2.33 Hy 3. approved. Though rather crude, they are practicable and simple.

10.4.33

Hy 1 cf Hy 2-3?

Hy 3. Approved. 11.4.33